

29 February 2012

Dear Councillor

COUNCIL - TUESDAY, 28TH FEBRUARY 2012

I am now able to enclose, for consideration at the above meeting of the Council, the following report that was unavailable when the agenda was printed.

Agenda No Item

7. **Alternative Budget Proposals** (Pages 141 - 154)

Report of the Labour Group (enclosed).

Yours sincerely



Gary Hall
Chief Executive

Carol Russell
Democratic Services Manager
E-mail: carol.russell@chorley.gov.uk
Tel: (01257) 515196
Fax: (01257) 515150

Distribution

1. Agenda and reports to all Members of the Council.

**This information can be made available to you in larger print
or on audio tape, or translated into your own language.
Please telephone 01257 515118 to access this service.**

આ માહિતીનો અનુવાદ આપની પોતાની ભાષામાં કરી શકાય છે. આ સેવા સરળતાથી મેળવવા માટે કૃપા કરી, આ નંબર પર ફોન કરો: 01257 515822

અનુસૂચિત ભાષાઓના વાંચકો માટે આ સેવા ઉપલબ્ધ છે. આ સેવા સરળતાથી મેળવવા માટે કૃપા કરી, આ નંબર પર ફોન કરો: 01257 515823



Report of	Meeting	Date
The Labour Group	Council	28 February 2012

ALTERNATIVE BUDGET 2012/13

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of the report is to propose an alternative budget for consideration by the Council as suggested by the Labour Group.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

2. That the Council adopt the alternative budget option as set out in this report and approve the alternative Council Tax set out in the resolution at Appendix A.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REPORT

3. The alternative budget sets out the Labour Group's suggestions for how the Council's resources could be best used to improve services to local residents. It differs from the coalition's proposed budget in a number of ways, but in the main the proposals consist of:
 - Further savings from the Council's management and back office functions.
 - More significant support for the creation of jobs and employment opportunities in the Borough.
 - Extra and significant investment in people's neighbourhoods.
 - A massive injection of cash to support the NEET population of the Borough.
 - Money to help support the vulnerable in our Borough.
 - A development scheme to assist the Borough residents with fuel poverty and investment in green technology by the Council.
4. Overall our proposals add no further commitment to the anticipated future deficit of £1.2m as demonstrated in Appendix B.

Confidential report Please bold as appropriate	Yes	No
--	-----	----

CORPORATE PRIORITIES

5. This report relates to the following Strategic Objectives:

Strong Family Support		Education and Jobs	√
Being Healthy		Pride in Quality Homes and Clean Neighbourhoods	√
Safe Respectful Communities	√	Quality Community Services and Spaces	√
Vibrant Local Economy	√	Thriving Town Centre, Local Attractions and Villages	√
A Council that is a consistently Top Performing Organisation and Delivers Excellent Value for Money			√

BACKGROUND

6. The current administration have proposed a reduction in Council Tax levels amounting to £1.79 at 3p per week for a Band D property and an investment portfolio which in their view meet the needs of the Borough.
7. This paper examines areas of difference and puts forward an alternative for consideration by members of the Council.

COUNCIL TAX LEVELS

8. The administration proposes a 1% cut amounting to £1.79 at 3p per week for a Band D tax payer. The Labour Group's view is that this is a gimmick for re-election purposes and represents a missed opportunity to invest in things that matter to and will have an impact on the residents of Chorley.
9. For this reason the Labour Group proposes freezing the Council Tax at 2011/12 levels and using the extra cash generated for investment.

ALTERNATIVE BUDGET

10. Alternative budget proposals are set out at Appendix B. Clear differences start to emerge from the details. The Labour Group's disappointment with the Administration's budget proposals can be summed up in the following phrase:

'Unambitious, Unimaginative and Uninspiring'

11. There is no vision or coherency in the proposals but rather a 'scatter gun' approach based on the desire to get re-elected rather than fix some of the fundamental issues facing the Borough. The Council is no longer seen as a dynamic hub leading the Borough through a period of change and uncertainty, but is merely reactionary in nature.
12. The Administration's proposals do reflect some of the key issues that Labour Group have been raising for some time, but do not go far enough in dealing with the issues. We aim to rectify the mistakes of the current Administration's thinking by:
 - Reducing the bureaucracy of running the Town Hall which the Conservative say they are tough on, but where we believe more could be done.
 - Focusing on a coherent approach to encourage trade and growth that has an impact on jobs, i.e. economy and peoples lives.
 - Spending on things that matter to the people of Chorley and their neighbourhoods..
 - Investing more cash into the young people of Chorley.
 - Investing in additional services for Elderly Care, reduced by others.
 - Attacking the issue of fuel poverty.
13. We believe these changes are necessary and represent a better package by:
 - Using money from resources set aside to repay debt to invest in the Borough.
 - Spending more on things that matter and will have an impact on the Borough.
 - Deal with some of the recurrent issues that the Administration has not addressed properly.

14. Our proposals focus on cutting waste further and ensuring more spending on front line services. In order to pay for this we propose to make:
 'Further significant savings from the Council's back office services and senior management of £300k'.
15. Whilst it is good to see that the Administration has taken on board some of our alternative budget proposals from last year and have reduced the senior management costs, £2m is still being spent running the Chief Executive's office and support services. We would like to reduce this by a further 15% or £300k.
16. We would achieve this through a number of ways including:
 - Looking to develop further sharing of services.
 - Scaling down the current levels of support services.
 - Reducing senior management and directors.
17. In addition, we believe it unnecessary to continue to reduce the Council's debt position at the expense of kick starting the Chorley economy. For this reason we propose only that the capital resources of £150,000 are set aside to repay debt, resulting in a revenue saving of £15k. As a result the £250k from revenue proposed as set aside will be spent within the Borough on the Labour Group's investment proposals.

PROPOSALS FOR INVESTMENT

18. The principles we have adopted of removing further bureaucracy and costs from the back office will allow significant investment to be made in areas which the Council has agreed through its Corporate Strategy as a priority. We note the investment proposals from the Administration, but fear they represent no long term strategy for addressing key issues that remain in Chorley, are too little, and in many respects are too late in being proposed. Positive action on some of the key issues much earlier in their stewardship would have been appropriate. Examples include their proposals for investment in the former McDonalds site and the Chorley East Health Centre, both of which have been ongoing issues for some time.
19. Our proposals are therefore as follows:

More significant investment and support for the Town Centre - £500k

More needs to be done to attract sustainable businesses to the Town Centre and service centres. There are still 100 empty business premises in and around Chorley. We propose to offer 1 year free business rates to encourage business start-ups and a 50% take up would cost £200k. The remaining funds would be available for business start-up support and fitting out costs. Our aim would be to create double the number of job creation opportunities to 250.

Investment in NEETS

The Administration proposals for NEETS does not resolve the current issue of NEETS. Creating 10 at the Council is insufficient in our view. We propose to create 60 opportunities for young people through the future horizons scheme at a cost of £400k. Using the Government's own figures this will create at least 30 sustainable jobs for young people and reduce the current issue of NEETS by over 20%.

Investment in Neighbourhoods

We propose to spend a further £300k on the creation of a community fund to be spent on Streetscene and community activities, including IDVA, the sanctuary scheme and support

for the vulnerable. Our spending proposals differ in that we propose to allow ward members to decide on priorities within their constituency, rather than the Council's arbitrary decision to spend on bus stops and litter bins. We also proposed that the investment in the PCSOs is redirected into neighbourhood wardens. The Labour Group contends that the administration is confused, as they indicate that crime continues to fall, there are no cuts in front line policing, yet more needs to be invested in PCSOs?

Providing Services to the Elderly

Our concerns in this area are that the elderly are being marginalised by cuts to services and being asked to pay for transport and extra for providing subsidised services. We propose to help the most vulnerable by putting £100k extra into funding community transport and support services through the voluntary sector to ensure that the most vulnerable are protected from the worst of the Government's austerity package and cuts.

The Green Agenda

Fuel poverty is still continuing to cause concern amongst our residents. For this reason we would look to work up a scheme operational in Europe whereby the local authority acts as the provider of fuel, purchasing and administering it. The reduced costs of bulk purchase could then be passed on to the customer. The costs of running the scheme would be met from redirecting some of our current resources from non added value activity.

We also note that the Council is not proposing any new scheme to take advantage of the new tariff schemes implemented to encourage industries and businesses to sell back into the national grid. An investment of £52k at the Town Hall from the Council's uncommitted asset management fund in the capital programme would allow an average annual income of £6,645 to be generated from the national grid. This is an example of a sustainable investment which would make the Council more efficient and such a scheme is currently lacking in the Administration's proposals.

Housing and Homelessness

The administration's proposals are silent on this key issue despite the administration's claims that the number of affordable units is increasing. It is still extremely difficult for many in our community to get onto the property ladder. For this reason we would redirect current resources into promoting schemes to help those wanting to get a step up on the housing market, particular to resolve problems associated with young people and new families remaining in extended family homes, as evidenced by the recent rural housing survey and other reports. In addition, more needs to be done to prevent homelessness including the hidden problems of sofa surfing and migratory homelessness, and again we would put more effort and resources into promoting schemes by extending the rental bond scheme which is self financing and liaising with RSLs and other partners to find a solution to the issues of young people who find themselves homeless.

IMPLICATIONS OF REPORT

20. This report has implications in the following areas and the relevant Directors' comments are included:

Finance		Customer Services	
Human Resources		Equality and Diversity	
Legal		Integrated Impact Assessment required?	
No significant implications in this area		Policy and Communications	

COMMENTS OF THE STATUTORY FINANCE OFFICER

21. The following comments are made purely as a matter of fact regarding the delivery of the financial aspects of the proposals and do not form a view about policy objectives.
22. The alternative proposal will make savings over and above those proposed by the administration and will be recurrent. The proposal allows for a further reduction in spending and in particular on staffing costs in a number of areas in the Council's support service functions. In this respect the staffing savings could be achieved through further restructurings and would likely incur some non recurrent costs in the shape of redundancy costs. However, these costs would be contained within the sums the Council has already set aside for change costs.
23. Clearly as the alternative proposal is made up of a target for saving rather than definitive proposals at this stage, it represents a risk in terms of delivery in 2012/13. However, should the proposal be accepted and given the Council's policy framework for delivering restructurings and subsequent savings, I am confident this could be achieved.
24. As the investment proposals are in line with the resources available in 2012/13 and do not add to the Council's anticipated forecast deficit in future years, they represent no additional financial risks than the administration's proposals. The Council's MTFS sets out how the budget could be balanced over the period.
25. For these reasons, I am therefore satisfied that the proposals are reasonable and could be delivered in part or in full during 2012/13.

COMMENTS OF THE MONITORING OFFICER

26. The Monitoring Officer has no comments on this report save that on it's face there are no legal restrictions on the proposals contained being adopted.

COUNCILLOR A BRADLEY
LEADER OF THE LABOUR GROUP

This page is intentionally left blank

FORMAL COUNCIL TAX RESOLUTION***Draft resolution on setting of the 2012/13 Council Tax for the Borough to be passed in approving the Executive Cabinet's recommendations for the Council's Budget.***

1. It be noted that on 1 December 2011 the Director of Transformation under delegated powers calculated the Council Tax Base 2012/13

(a) for the whole Council area as 36,013.80 [Item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")]; and

(b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates (as in the attached Appendix C).

2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2012/13 (excluding Parish precepts) is £6,466,640

3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2012/13 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:

(a) £55,413,980 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.

(b) £48,380,120 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.

(c) £7,033,860 being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year. (Item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).

(d) £195.31 being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish precepts).

(e) £1,272,047 being the aggregate amount of all special items (Special Expenses and Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as in the attached Appendix B).

(f) £159.99 being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.

4. To note that the County Council, the Police Authority and the Fire Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table below.

5. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the

amounts of Council Tax for 2012/13 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

VALUATION BANDS

CHORLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
106.66	124.44	142.21	159.99	195.54	231.10	266.65	319.98

LANCASHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
738.87	862.01	985.16	1,108.30	1,354.59	1,600.88	1,847.17	2,216.60

LANCASHIRE POLICE AUTHORITY

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
99.95	116.61	133.27	149.93	183.25	216.57	249.88	299.86

LANCASHIRE COMBINED FIRE AUTHORITY

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
42.43	49.51	56.58	63.65	77.79	91.94	106.08	127.30

AGGREGATE OF COUNCIL TAX REQUIREMENTS

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
987.91	1,152.57	1,317.22	1,481.87	1,811.17	2,140.49	2,469.78	2,963.74

6. That the Statutory Finance Officer and his officers be authorised to take any action necessary to ensure collection and recovery of the Council Tax and Non-Domestic Rates.

7. As there is no increase in the Council's basic amount of Council Tax for 2012/13 and is therefore below the allowable increase of 3.5%, it is considered not excessive in accordance with principles approved under Section 52ZB Local Government Finance Act 1992.

EXPLANATION OF COUNCIL TAX SETTING RESOLUTION**RESOLUTION 1**

(a) Before we can calculate the Council Tax to be charged, we first have to calculate the Council Tax base. The Council Tax base is the amount which a Band D Council Tax of £1.00 would raise. For 2012/13 we estimate that a £1.00 Council Tax at Band D would raise £36,013.80 in the Chorley area.

(b) This shows the “base” figure for each Parish in the area. For example, a £1.00 Band D Council Tax in Adlington would raise £1,955.60.

RESOLUTION 2

This shows the Council’s net spending for 2012/13 excluding the cost of Parish precepts.

RESOLUTION 3

(a) This is the grand total of money which the Council estimates it will spend on all services in 2012/13. It also includes £567,220 which Parish Councils need to run their services.

(b) This is the grand total of money which the Council estimates it will receive from various sources in the year. This includes Central Government and business rates, car park charges, investment income, government grants in respect of benefits, etc.

(c) This is the difference between 2(a) and 2(b) and is in effect the Council’s and Parishes net spending on services.

(d) The difference between 2(a) and 2(b) is £7,033,860 and this is the amount we need to charge Council Taxpayers. This is divided by the base (see 1(a) above) and the resulting figure of £195.31 is the average Band D Council Tax for all Borough and Parish services.

(e) The total of all the amounts needed from Council Taxpayers by the Parish Councils in the area and for Chorley Borough Special Expenses.

(f) This is the Band D Council Tax for Chorley Borough Council’s own services, ie excluding Parish Council spending and Special Expenses

RESOLUTION 4

Lancashire County Council, Lancashire Fire Authority and Lancashire Police Authority are separate bodies who have worked out their own estimates of spending and income for 2012/13 and have set taxes in a similar way to Chorley Borough Council. This resolution notes their final decision.

RESOLUTION 5

This pulls together the Council Taxes for Chorley Borough Council, Lancashire County Council, Lancashire Police Authority and Lancashire Fire Authority. For example, the aggregate amount for Band D is £1,481.87 made up as follows:

	£
Chorley Borough Council	159.99
Lancashire County Council	1,108.30
Lancashire Police Authority	149.93
Lancashire Fire Authority	63.65

The rate for each property Band is calculated by reference to the Band D charge. The following ratios apply:

Band A	$\frac{6}{9}$ ths of Band D
Band B	$\frac{7}{9}$ ths of Band D
Band C	$\frac{8}{9}$ ths of Band D
Band D	$\frac{9}{9}$ ths of Band D
Band E	$\frac{11}{9}$ ths of Band D
Band F	$\frac{13}{9}$ ths of Band D
Band G	$\frac{15}{9}$ ths of Band D
Band H	$\frac{18}{9}$ ths of Band D

The aggregate charge for Band A, for example, the charge is $\text{£}1,481.87 \times 6 \div 9 = \text{£}987.91$; for Band B it is $\text{£}1,481.87 \times 7 \div 9 = \text{£}1,152.57$.

RESOLUTION 6

Formally authorise the necessary staff to take legal action to collect arrears as and when this is necessary. **For the vast majority of taxpayers, this is not needed**

Chorley Borough Council - Council Tax 2012/13																	
			Band A		Band B		Band C		Band D		Band E		Band F		Band G		Band H
Lancashire County Council			738.87		862.01		985.16		1,108.30		1,354.59		1,600.88		1,847.17		2,216.60
Chorley Borough Council (Excluding Special Expenses)			106.66		124.44		142.21		159.99		195.54		231.10		266.65		319.98
Lancashire Police Authority			99.95		116.61		133.27		149.93		183.25		216.57		249.88		299.86
Lancashire Fire Authority			42.43		49.51		56.58		63.65		77.79		91.94		106.08		127.30
Sub Total			987.91		1,152.57		1,317.22		1,481.87		1,811.17		2,140.49		2,469.78		2,963.74
Parish and town councils	Total Parish precept	Special Expenses	Parish Precept	Special Expenses	Parish Precept	Special Expenses	Parish Precept	Special Expenses	Parish Precept	Special Expenses	Parish Precept	Special Expenses	Parish Precept	Special Expenses	Parish Precept	Special Expenses	Parish Precept
Adlington	£17,500.00	3.24	5.97	3.78	6.96	4.32	7.96	4.86	8.95	5.94	10.94	7.02	12.93	8.10	14.92	9.72	17.90
Anderton	£4,600.00	1.39	6.21	1.62	7.24	1.85	8.28	2.08	9.31	2.54	11.38	3.00	13.45	3.47	15.52	4.16	18.62
Anglezarke	£0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Astley Village	£22,980.00	18.22	14.11	21.26	16.46	24.29	18.81	27.33	21.16	33.40	25.86	39.48	30.56	45.55	35.27	54.66	42.32
Bretherton	£9,680.00	-	22.14	-	25.83	-	29.52	-	33.21	-	40.59	-	47.97	-	55.35	-	66.42
Brindle	£6,800.00	3.15	9.81	3.68	11.45	4.20	13.08	4.73	14.72	5.78	17.99	6.83	21.26	7.88	24.53	9.46	29.44
Charnock Richard	£25,000.00	2.59	24.18	3.03	28.21	3.46	32.24	3.89	36.27	4.75	44.33	5.62	52.39	6.48	60.45	7.78	72.54
Clayton le Woods	£95,240.00	25.34	13.33	29.56	15.56	33.79	17.78	38.01	20.00	46.46	24.44	54.90	28.89	63.35	33.33	76.02	40.00
Coppull	£79,750.00	5.33	22.57	6.21	26.33	7.10	30.09	7.99	33.85	9.77	41.37	11.54	48.89	13.32	56.42	15.98	67.70
Croston	£21,010.00	6.23	13.51	7.26	15.77	8.30	18.02	9.34	20.27	11.42	24.77	13.49	29.28	15.57	33.78	18.68	40.54
Cuerden	£1,030.00	7.64	17.13	8.91	19.98	10.19	22.84	11.46	25.69	14.01	31.40	16.55	37.11	19.10	42.82	22.92	51.38
Eccleston	£41,310.00	3.25	17.56	3.80	20.49	4.34	23.41	4.88	26.34	5.96	32.19	7.05	38.05	8.13	43.90	9.76	52.68
Euxton	£104,270.00	11.43	19.01	13.33	22.17	15.24	25.34	17.14	28.51	20.95	34.85	24.76	41.18	28.57	47.52	34.28	57.02
Heapey	£8,990.00	11.67	15.83	13.62	18.46	15.56	21.10	17.51	23.74	21.40	29.02	25.29	34.29	29.18	39.57	35.02	47.48
Heath Charnock	£8,250.00	14.20	6.95	16.57	8.10	18.93	9.26	21.30	10.42	26.03	12.74	30.77	15.05	35.50	17.37	42.60	20.84
Heskin	£10,810.00	1.44	20.67	1.68	24.12	1.92	27.56	2.16	31.01	2.64	37.90	3.12	44.79	3.60	51.68	4.32	62.02
Hoghton	£5,000.00	4.59	9.30	5.36	10.85	6.12	12.40	6.89	13.95	8.42	17.05	9.95	20.15	11.48	23.25	13.78	27.90
Mawdesley	£25,000.00	0.91	22.11	1.06	25.80	1.21	29.48	1.36	33.17	1.66	40.54	1.96	47.91	2.27	55.28	2.72	66.34
Rivington	£2,500.00	-	32.81	-	38.27	-	43.74	-	49.21	-	60.15	-	71.08	-	82.02	-	98.42
Ulmes Walton	£5,360.00	-	14.03	-	16.36	-	18.70	-	21.04	-	25.72	-	30.39	-	35.07	-	42.08
Wheelton	£11,130.00	-	18.84	-	21.98	-	25.12	-	28.26	-	34.54	-	40.82	-	47.10	-	56.52
Whittle Woods	£31,740.00	14.14	9.97	16.50	11.63	18.85	13.29	21.21	14.95	25.92	18.27	30.64	21.59	35.35	24.92	42.42	29.90
Withnell	£29,270.00	5.80	15.80	6.77	18.43	7.73	21.07	8.70	23.70	10.63	28.97	12.57	34.23	14.50	39.50	17.40	47.40
All other parts of the Council's area		18.09	-	21.10	-	24.12	-	27.13	-	33.16	-	39.19	-	45.22	-	54.26	-

PARISH COUNCIL PRECEPTS							
Parish Council	2011/12			2012/13			
	Tax	Precepts	Council Tax	Tax	Precepts	Council Tax	C Tax
	Base	£	Band D (£)	Base	£	Band D (£)	Increase
Adlington	1,941.40	17,500.00	9.01	1,955.60	17,500.00	8.95	-0.07
Anderton	494.50	4,600.00	9.30	494.10	4,600.00	9.31	0.01
Anglezarke	17.30	-	0.00	17.20	-	0.00	0.00
Astley Village	1,094.00	20,700.00	18.92	1,085.90	22,980.00	21.16	2.24
Bretherton	290.60	9,124.00	31.40	291.50	9,680.00	33.21	1.81
Brindle	459.70	6,800.00	14.79	462.10	6,800.00	14.72	-0.08
Charnock Richard	672.40	25,000.00	37.18	689.20	25,000.00	36.27	-0.91
Clayton le Woods	4,735.80	118,395.00	25.00	4,761.80	95,240.00	20.00	-5.00
Coppull	2,345.80	80,900.00	34.49	2,356.20	79,750.00	33.85	-0.64
Croston	1,027.80	20,840.00	20.28	1,036.50	21,010.00	20.27	-0.01
Cuerden	39.00	1,025.00	26.28	40.10	1,030.00	25.69	-0.60
Eccleston	1,566.60	41,260.00	26.34	1,568.10	41,310.00	26.34	0.01
Euxton	3,527.20	107,990.00	30.62	3,656.90	104,270.00	28.51	-2.10
Heapey	378.50	8,990.00	23.75	378.70	8,990.00	23.74	-0.01
Heath Charnock	799.70	5,750.00	7.19	791.80	8,250.00	10.42	3.23
Heskin	344.50	10,722.90	31.13	348.60	10,810.00	31.01	-0.12
Hoghton	363.80	4,400.00	12.09	358.30	5,000.00	13.95	1.86
Mawdesley	750.60	25,000.00	33.31	753.70	25,000.00	33.17	-0.14
Rivington	50.20	2,000.00	39.84	50.80	2,500.00	49.21	9.37
Ulmes Walton	255.50	5,363.00	20.99	254.80	5,360.00	21.04	0.05
Wheelton	396.10	11,130.00	28.10	393.90	11,130.00	28.26	0.16
Whittle Woods	2,005.00	32,500.00	16.21	2,123.40	31,740.00	14.95	-1.26
Withnell	1,247.60	29,270.00	23.46	1,234.90	29,270.00	23.70	0.24
All other parts of the Council's area	10,762.20	-		10,909.70	-		
TOTAL / AVERAGE	35,565.80	589,259.90	16.57	36,013.80	567,220.00	15.75	-0.82

	2011/12 ESTIMATE £'000	2012/13 ESTIMATE £'000	2013/14 ESTIMATE £'000	2014/15 ESTIMATE £'000
CASH BASE BUDGET REQUIREMENT	14,562	13,668	14,024	13,619
Cash Movements:				
Other Virements (Transfer below the line)		71		
Inflation				
Pay	(21)	(55)	78	84
Pensions	65	(22)	70	70
Non-Pay	132	57	66	69
Contractual	262	517	150	162
Income	64	27	8	14
Increments	77	28	28	14
Volume Expenditure	7	(127)	(146)	136
Volume Income	329	16	80	10
Concessionary Travel	(729)			
Impact of Budget Decisions made for 2010/11	(50)			
Savings Identified 2011/12	(1,295)	(51)	(6)	(5)
Decisions Made/Savings Achieved	0	(895)	73	
Savings Proposals/Decisions Required	0	(345)	(6)	
Growth Items 2011/12 (non-recurrent)	266	(266)	0	0
Mainstream Growth Items 2012/13 (recurrent)		600	0	0
Growth Items 2012/13 & 2013/14		0	0	0
Growth Items 2012/13 (Non-recurrent)		800	(800)	
DIRECTORATE CASH BUDGETS	13,668	14,024	13,619	14,173
Contingency:				
- Management of the Establishment	(300)	(200)	(200)	(200)
- Other Corporate Savings Targets	(58)	0	0	0
Directorate & Corporate Budgets	13,310	13,824	13,419	13,973
Net Financing Transactions:				
- Net Interest/Premiums/Discounts	102	0	28	28
- MRP less Commutation Adjustment	336	344	366	388
sub total	438	344	394	416
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	13,748	14,168	13,813	14,389
Financed By:				
Council Tax - Borough	(6,387)	(6,467)	(6,500)	(6,532)
Grant for freezing Council Tax	(159)	(318)	(159)	(159)
Parish Precepts	589	567	567	567
Council Tax Parishes	(589)	(567)	(567)	(567)
Formula Grant	(6,741)	(5,883)	(5,371)	(5,371)
New Homes Bonus	(250)	(1,044)	(1,044)	(1,044)
Performance Reward Grant	(80)	(89)	0	0
Area Based Grant	0	0	0	0
LABGI Grant	(75)	0	0	0
Collection Fund (Surplus)/Deficit	(26)	(40)	0	0
Transfers to/(from) Earmarked Reserves	(30)	(31)	79	(33)
Local Services Support Grant	0	(71)	(71)	(71)
Set Aside from 2011/12 Underspend	0	(250)	0	0
Transfer to/(from) General Balances	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FINANCING	(13,748)	(14,194)	(13,066)	(13,211)
Net Expenditure	0	(26)	747	1,178
Analysis of Net Expenditure (Budget Gap)				
Net Expenditure in Year	0	(26)	773	432
Surplus New Homes Bonus		0	(400)	(800)
Key Assumptions	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	
Reduction in Government Grant Settlement (AEF)	£857k	£513k	£0k	
Profiled Reduction in Grant Settlement	-11.7%	-7.9%	0.0%	
Pay Award	0	1%	1%	
Pension Increase	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	
Increase in Council Tax	0%	0%	0%	
Grant for Freezing Council Tax	£318k	£159k	£159k	
Use of New Homes Bonus	£1,044k	£1,044k	£1,044k	

This page is intentionally left blank